1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 6 AT TACOMA 7 JAMES WHITAKER, 8 CASE NO. C12-5591 BHS Plaintiff. 9 ORDER ADOPTING REPORT v. AND RECOMMENDATION 10 CAROLYN W. COLVIN, Acting Commissioner of Social Security, 11 Defendant. 12 13 This matter comes before the Court on the Report and Recommendation ("R&R") 14 of the Honorable Karen L. Strombom, United States Magistrate Judge (Dkt. 19), and 15 Plaintiff James Whitaker's ("Whitaker") objections to the R&R (Dkt. 20). 16 On July 22, 2013, Judge Strombom issued the R&R recommending that the Court 17 affirm the Administrative Law Judge's ("ALJ") denial of benefits for Whitaker. Dkt. 19. 18 Although Judge Strombom found that the ALJ erred in assessing Whitaker's residual 19 functional capacity, Judge Strombom concluded that the error was harmless because the 20 omitted limitations do not alter Whitaker's ability to perform past relevant work. *Id.* at 21 7–10. On August 5, 2013, Whitaker filed objections arguing that the issue should be 22

remanded for additional testimony by a vocational expert regarding the omitted limitations. Dkt. 20. The district judge must determine de novo any part of the magistrate judge's disposition that has been properly objected to. The district judge may accept, reject, or modify the recommended disposition; receive further evidence; or return the matter to the magistrate judge with instructions. On the issue of whether the ALJ's error was harmless, the Court agrees with Judge Strombom. The record is sufficient to show that, even if the ALJ had included Whitaker's climbing and exposure to vibration limitations, Whitaker has the capacity to perform past relevant work as a lead maintenance machinist. There is no reason to remand the matter for a vocational expert to provide testimony on what the Dictionary of Occupational Titles clearly provides. Therefore, the Court having considered the R&R, Whitaker's objections, and the remaining record, does hereby find and order as follows: The R&R is **ADOPTED**; (1) The ALJ's decision is **AFFIRMED**; and (2) (3) This action is **DISMISSED**. Dated this 4th day of September, 2013. United States District Judge

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22